EXHIBIT 2

```
1
      84orsech
 1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12233445566778899
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
      COMMISSION.
                            Plaintiff,
                     ٧.
                                                            05 Civ. 2192 (WHP)
      NORTHSHORE ASSET MANAGEMENT.
      LLC, et al.,
                                                            Hearing
                            Defendants.
      -----X
                                                            New York, N.Y.
April 24, 2008
10:00 a.m.
10
10
11
      Before:
11
12
12
13
13
14
                  HON. WILLIAM H. PAULEY III
                                                            District Judge
                   APPEARANCES
14
15
15
16
      JACK KAUFMAN, ESQ.
      SAMUEL M. FORSTEIN, ESQ.
16
      LINDA CHATMAN THOMSEN, ESQ.
17
17
      TIMOTHY P. WEI, ESQ.
      MARK K. SCHONFELD, ESQ.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
18
18
19
19
      STILLMAN, FRIEDMAN & SHECHTMAN, P.C.
20
             Attorneys for Defendant Saldutti
20
      JULIAN W. FRIEDMAN, ESQ.
21
21
22
22
23
23
      CAROLYN BARTH RENZIN, ESQ.
      KAYE SCHOLER LLP
             Attorneys for Receiver Arthur Steinberg
      PAUL J. CURRAN, ESQ.
      KARIN E. GARVEY, ESQ.
24
24
25
25
      ARTHUR STEINBERG
             Receiver
                           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                        (212) 805-0300
                                                                                            2
      84orsech
 1234567
                   (Case called)
      THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. Would counsel for the SEC give their appearances.

MR. KAUFMAN: Your Honor, Jack Kaufman for the Securities and Exchange Commission. Seated to my right is
      Linda Thomsen, head of the enforcement division. Seated to my left is Samuel Forstein, an assistant general counsel for the
                                         Page 1
```

В

```
84ORSECH.txt
      recollection. My recollection is that it was approved by the court, and that's what this document indicates.
20
21
                  THE COURT: What is the date of that order, Mr.
22
      Curran?
23
                  MR. CURRAN: December 14, 2006, your Honor.
24
      CROSS-EXAMINATION
25
      BY MR. CURRAN:
                          SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                       (212) 805-0300
                                                                                       146
      84orsec3
                                        Friedman - cross
 1
      Q. I think you testified, and please tell me if I've got this
      wrong, that you believed you had a basis to, may I say, accrue
      monthly expenses over time.
           Well, accrue, actually I wouldn't agree with the word
      A. Well "accrue.
          Accumulate?
      Q.
           No. All I think I intended to testify is that if one has
      Α.
      to, for example, pay an insurance premium on a monthly basis, one does not have to write the check every month. One could write a check in month two for two months' premiums. That's
10
      what I meant to say.
11
           In other words, the monthly expense would come after two
13
      months instead of one month? In other words, let's take real
14
      estate taxes. Withdraw that.
15
      Α.
           OK.
16
           On Exhibit A I think real estate taxes are listed as $5300.
      Q.
17
           Are we looking at the signed agreement, Mr. Curran?
18
           Yes.
      Q.
19
           Let me turn to that. Yes, real estate taxes are listed at
      5300 on Exhibit A to Receiver Exhibit 1.
20
21
           You made the point before, I believe, that nobody, I guess
22
      maybe unless you have a mortgage, pays real estate taxes
23
      monthly.
24
           Correct.
      Α.
           So you basically didn't pay 5300 every month, you waited SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                       (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        147
      84orsec3
                                        Friedman - cross
      until real estate taxes were due and then paid the full amount
      that was due?
           I assume the "you" in that sentence was Mr. Saldutti.
           I believe that's correct.
      Α.
           I misspoke. Now, where in the escrow agreement does it
      authorize doing what you did, in your judgment.

A. In my judgment, it authorizes it in 4(a), which says
"Escrow agent shall distribute funds to the depositor so as to
      permit the depositor to pay the recurring expenses as set forth in Exhibit A." That language does not contemplate that you have to pay your real estate taxes of 5300 every month even though you don't have a bill.
10
11
12
13
14
           That's how you read Exhibit A?
15
      Α.
           Yes.
      Q. Did you ever discuss with Mr. Solow or Mr. Steinberg your reading that you have just testified to of Exhibit A?

A. I do not recall whether I did or not.

Q. Would you agree with me that the authorized expenses on a
16
17
18
19
      monthly basis were exceeded in some months?
20
21
           If you mean the figure of 40,025, yes.
22
           Yes, I do.
      Q.
           Yes, then I would agree.
```

24 Indeed, I think you testified that the average, even if you 25 count in the months where there was less than 40,025, it was SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

148 84orsec3 Friedman - cross about 42,000? I think I might have said 43. That's my memory. 3 I think you said 43 in connection with cost of living. A. No. Well, I may have. But I think the answer is 43,000 with respect to both categories. 4 5 Q. There was no provision in the agreement for an increase over the 40,025, was there?

A. It depends how one reads 4(a). If your question is is 6 7 8 there any explicit provision for seeking or giving notice or seeking approval, that's correct, I agree with you. 10 Q. No. My question is, is there any explicit provision which authorizes Mr. Saldutti to exceed \$40,025 a month? 11 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Beyond legal fees? 15 I believe that 4(a) authorizes that. And common sense I Α. 16 would add. 17 Q. How many dollars over 40,025, above that, could 4(a) authorize, in your opinion?
A. Could 4(a) authorize? 18 19 20 If the expenses were in the categories that were listed, I 21

think there is no limit under the agreement. If Pound Ridge real estate taxes doubled, I think Mr. Saldutti would be authorized by 4(a) to pay those taxes. 22 23

24

Q. without talking to the receiver or his counsel? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

149

Friedman - cross 84orsec3 A. That is certainly what I intended when I drafted the agreement. It is the way I read the agreement. It's the way I read the agreement today. And it was never -- withdrawn. I'll stop. Is there anything in the agreement which says that? 5 6 7 Q. No. I've already answered that, I thought. when you made a disbursement on a monthly basis to Mr. Saldutti, what documentation did you obtain from him before you made the disbursement? 10 I obtained a request from him saying please disburse this 11 amount of money this month. 12 13 14 He just made an oral lump sum request? No. I believe it was mostly emails. Α.

Q. Lump sum? 15 Α.

Lump sum. Didn't give you a breakdown? Q.

16 17 Correct. Α.

18 Of what the expenses might be for?

19 20 There are a couple of small exceptions to that at the very beginning, but generally that's true.

In other words, please send me 50,000?

Correct. Α.

21 22 23 Q. In the course of your stewardship, did you ever ask him for any kind of a breakdown?

24

I did not. I'm not sure what you mean by my stewardship, SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Friedman - cross

840RSECH.txt

84orsec3

```
but I did not. I would note that the second sentence of 4(a) says, "Depositor," that's Mr. Saldutti, "agrees to disburse all funds pursuant to this subparagraph (a) only in accordance with Exhibit A." Mr. Saldutti was well aware of that, and I never, ever, have had any reason to doubt Mr. Saldutti's integrity, including compliance with that provision of that agreement.

Q. Was there ever a time when you told Mr. Saldutti that a request he was making for distribution was not allowed or was
       request he was making for distribution was not allowed or was
 9
       excessive?
10
            Your Honor, I have no problem answering the question, but
11
       I'm a little uncomfortable about inquiries into my
      conversations with my client. As long as it's clear to everybody that there is no waiver --

THE COURT: It will not be deemed to be a waiver of
12
13
14
15
       the attorney-client privilege.
                    MR. CURRAN: Thank you.
16
17
            The answer to your question, Mr. Curran, is no, there was
18
       never such a time.
19
            In the email of May 14, '07, which you testified to
20
21
       earlier, I think it's Receiver Exhibit I guess 2.
            I have it.
       Q. You said this sentence. "The difference is attributable to expenditures permitted by the escrow agreement." I take it
22
23
       that is consistent with your testimony here today that every
       expenditure was consistent with the escrow agreement?
                             SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                          (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                151
                                            Friedman - cross
       84orsec3
       A. Yes, that was my belief.

MR. CURRAN: If I've asked this, your Honor, and Mr.
 1
       Friedman, I apologize.
             Your affidavit of I guess it was March 12 of 2008 has the
       distributions set forth.
            Yes, I have that.
Did you ever at any time between October 2005 and March
       2008 say to the receiver or to his counsel, I want to discuss with you some overages here in the distribution, or anything
10
       like that?
11
       A. No, not that I recall.
12
             In other words, your consistent position was that
13
       everything you did, authorized him to spend, was in compliance,
14
15
       in total compliance, with the escrow agreement?
           Yes.
16
17
18
19
                    MR. CURRAN: Would your Honor bear with me one moment,
       please?
                    THE COURT: Take your time, Mr. Curran.
                    Mr. Curran, is this an appropriate time to take a
20
       short recess?
21
22
23
24
                    MR. CURRAN: I don't have much more, your Honor, but
       it's up to the Court.
                    THE COURT: Whatever your pleasure is.
MR. CURRAN: We could take a short recess then.
THE COURT: All right. We will reconvene in ten
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                           (212) 805-0300
                                                                                                152
       84orsec3
                                            Friedman - cross
 1
       minutes.
 2
                     (Recess)
             Look, please, at your chart that shows distributions, the
       first page to your affidavit.
```

is a more important point to be made here, and that is what 10 11 matters are the totals. What you and I are talking about now

12 is just a timing issue. But what is clear to me is that the

13 money in the legal fee column with a legal fees and the money

14 in the balance column was not legal fees.

15 So the totals are unquestionably accurate? As best you can

16 do it, obviously.

17 Α. Yes.

0

- Q. Then why aren't individual items under "Balance of Distribution "accurate? 18
- 19
- 20 I believe they are. But I know less about them, that's
- what I'm saying. You started this by asking me what accounts
- 21 22 23 24 for the 50,196.
- Correct. Q.
- A. I do not have any document or any knowledge independent of a document that enables me to give you a precise answer.

 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

155

- 84orsec4 Friedman - cross Don't I have a right to assume, based on this chart, that those moneys are not for legal fees?
- Yes, I think that's accurate.
 The same for the 88,000?
 I think that's accurate.
- Q.
- I think you testified on direct examination, and please Q.
- tell me if I have it wrong, that the specific items that are
- set forth as monthly expenses, like real estate, for example, real estate taxes, could change.
- 5 6 7 8 9 10 Yes. Α.

1

- 11 12 13 I think your point was they usually or normally would Q.
- change upwards.
- Yes. Α.
- 14 15 16 17 Real estate taxes increase, and so on. Q.
- Is it your testimony that you felt that if there were
- changes upwards, there was no need to inform the receiver or
- his counsel of the fact that you were spending more money than the items set forth in Exhibit A called for?

 A. Yes, as long as it's in the same categories. Just like it's my testimony that when there were changes downward, as there were, for example, for tuition, which you see here as \$4500. But when Mr. Saldutti's two daughters, who were in college at the time this was entered into graduated during the 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- college at the time this was entered into, graduated during the 24
- life of this agreement, I didn't inform the receiver when there SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

156

84orsec4 Friedman - cross

were changes downward. It was categories.

- Q. At what point, if a category went haywire, would you think it was time to tell the receiver? For example, miscellaneous expenses here are a thousand dollars. Suppose they went to \$5,000 for legitimate reasons. Wouldn't you have an obligation under this agreement to tell the receiver you were increasing it by \$4,000? 3

- "Miscellaneous" is the term that I think I probably would. I added at Mr. Steinberg's request when he asked me to take out "tuition" and put in "miscellaneous." Excuse me. I said "tuition." I meant "contributions." To the degree it really
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- is miscellaneous and not contributions, by definition "miscellaneous" is a term that could mean anything, and I would have informed the receiver if miscellaneous increased to 5,000.

Q. How about recurring monthly family expenses? That's fairly

broad. 16 17

 \square

Let's say that increased substantially. Let's say it went 18 Q.

19 up by 3,000. Would you feel an obligation to tell the

20

21 I would have to know why. You're asking me questions in

22 the abstract that I can't answer in the abstract.

Q. I think your testimony is that you believed that under the agreement you could exceed the specific items set forth here if 23

the items called for more expense.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

157

158

(212) 805-0300

84orsec4 Friedman - cross

A. That was a question that I answered when you asked me under the language of the agreement. But I also have and take seriously a responsibility to the court and I also apply a rule

of reason in everything I do. So if some expense jumped in a

way as to be inherently suspicious, I would want to know more. 6 7

Q. I think it is your testimony that section 4(a) of the agreement is what governs the decisions you've testified about. A. Yes. No. Excuse me. Let me answer that more accurately.

9

10 Section 4(a) is the paragraph in the agreement that

governs, but there are other principles that govern the conduct of all of us as lawyers, and I take those seriously. 11

12

Q. What I meant was to exceed a monthly item as set forth in Exhibit A, I believe it's your testimony that 4(a) authorized 13 14 15

you to do that when the expenses became greater.

A. It is my testimony that 4(a) authorized me to do that for expenses in the same category as long as they either increased in an expected way or, if unexpected, I had an explanation for them in the context of the receiver having originally wanted a monthly accounting provision and then walking away from that 16 17 18 19 20

21 request.

22 That being the case and that being your thinking, wouldn't it have been more prudent to raise with the receiver, or with his counsel more likely, beforehand that these certain items are estimates and not specific dollar amounts like they are in 23 24 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

84orsec4 Friedman - cross Exhibit A?

1 A. They started out as specific dollar amounts in the first draft that I sent to the SEC, and then I rounded them at the request of the receiver. I don't know what you mean by estimates. They are not estimates. They were rounded numbers based on actual experience except, as I indicated on the second page, for legal fees, which was an estimate, and health

insurance. Q. But if they could increase, as they apparently did, without you telling the receiver or his counsel, wouldn't it have been more prudent to give yourself some leeway for those increases you were negotiating this agreement?

13 would it have been more prudent in 20-20 hindsight?

14 Q.

A. Sure, because then you wouldn't have asked me the question you just asked me. 15

16

17 Did you request a cost of living increase to be included in

the agreement? 18

No. I think I should have, but I did not.

84ORSECH.txt 20 Should you have requested a little more labeling of 21 estimations based on what your testimony has been? 22 No, I don't agree with you, Mr. Curran, that they are 23 estimations. 24 MR. CURRAN: I have no further questions, your Honor. THE COURT: Cross-examination, Mr. Forstein? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. 25 (212) 805-0300 159 84orsec4 Friedman - cross MR. FORSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. 123456789 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** BY MR. FORSTEIN: Mr. Friedman, did I correctly understand you to say that in terms of the instructions you received from your client for disbursements for particular months, what you would typically obtain was an email from him saying, give me X amount of money? That's all? There was no explanation of what the money was 10 for? 11 Yes. Α. Q. Where you have some of these expenditures for fairly large amounts, such as, for example, January of '08, where he requests \$140,000 plus an amount for legal fees, so there's \$171,000, all you got from your client was an email saying disburse \$171,000 to me, with no explanation whatsoever? 12 13 14 15 16 17 I believe that's true. Of course, at the time I knew what 18 had been disbursed in the prior five months, including two 19 months with nothing. 20 Q. You simply made an assumption when you received a request for \$171,000 that it was for correct amounts for each of the categories in Schedule A? A. No, I did not make an assumption. I believed that Mr. Saldutti was complying with his obligations under the agreement. And if you're asking me did I audit my client, the 21 22 23 24 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 160 84orsec4 Friedman - cross answer is no, I didn't audit my client. Q. For any of the distributions there are very considerable amounts, 135,000, 134,000. In fact, let's look at August '07, \$134,000 in August '07. Or before that, in June and July, in June of '07 you've got 115,000, followed by 119,000 in July. 5 6 7 Did either of those raise a question to you that perhaps your client was demanding that you give him more money than he was entitled to under the escrow agreement? A. No. If you look at the next column, there are significant outstanding legal bills at that time. If you look at the third 10 11 column in the two months you just mentioned, the total is 12 \$84,000, or \$42,000 a month. 13 14 Let me ask you to look at the footnote at the bottom of that document. 15

A. OK.
Q. Tell me if I'm reading this correctly. As I understand it, when the escrow was first funded and the amount was put in, that was done on October 25, 2005, is that correct?
A. Does it say October 25th? I don't remember the specific date. The chart indicates October.

Q. Look at the footnote. Does it say in the second sentence, "The escrow account was established on October 25, 2005"? Do

23 you see that, sir?
24 A. Yes, it does.

Ð

```
84ORSECH.txt
```

down for Allstate Insurance if under your reading of the agreement you were free to make any disbursement for insurance?
THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I think the answer to that is there has to be a starting point. I was asked in the negotiation process --

THE COURT: Where is there an indication in this

agreement that this is the starting point?
THE WITNESS: There is not an indication in this agreement that this is a starting point. But in my covering letter to the SEC, in my February 16th letter, which is SEC Exhibit 1, I said, "I will be supplying you as soon as I can a list of Mr. Saldutti's debts and expenses." So I am saying these are the actual expenses, the historical expenses, because I had been requested to do so. That's what I mean when I say starting point. If you're entering into an agreement, you need to know what it's currently costing.

THE COURT: But in answer to a question from Mr.

THE COURT: But in answer to a question from Mr. Curran about miscellaneous expenses, you said, if I recall correctly, that if there were \$5,000 in miscellaneous expenses, that would be something that would strike you as beyond the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

166

84orsec4 Friedman breadth of this agreement and that you would likely bring it to the attention of the receiver. Is that a fair characterization?

THE WITNESS: It is a fair characterization. The history of that miscellaneous expenses is that it started life as charitable contributions.

THE COURT: I understand that. The only thing that the receiver was concerned about was not the amount. He wanted it changed. He didn't like "charitable contribution." He wanted you to use the word "miscellaneous," right?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: That was his contribution to negotiating part of the agreement, right?
THE WITNESS: Right.

THE COURT: Correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: You didn't suggest that. He didn't like seeing "charitable contributions" there, he wanted "miscellaneous"?

THE WITNESS: I guess. He certainly suggested that. THE COURT: Now, you said that \$5,000 in miscellaneous would be something that would raise a red flag with you. question is, how would you know whether Mr. Saldutti was spending \$5,000 on miscellaneous if he was not giving you any representation on a monthly basis as to what area of monthly SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

167

84orsec4 Friedman expenses the moneys were aggregating to? THE WITNESS: Because to the degree that the number in excess of the legal expenses was running significantly higher than the amount in the agreement, not in a month but over a period of months, I would have asked that question.

THE COURT: Where does it allow you to average expenses?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, the word "averaging" does not appear and there is no specific authorization of that. suggest to you that that is the fairest and most reasonable Page 77

10

11

12 13

14

23 24 25

2 3 4

5 6 7

8 9 10

11 12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

1 2 3

67

84ORSECH.txt 16 know by a check. 17 THE COURT: So the entire sum went to Saldutti; in the 18 case of January '08, you sent 171,000 to him by wire? 19 THE WITNESS: Correct. 20 THE COURT: Then he wrote you a check for \$30,475.49, 21 22 is that correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. The only thing that I can't tell is could the check have been written before the wire. That's 23 24 possible, because this is on a month basis. But subject to the specific day, your Honor --SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 170 84orsec4 Friedman THE COURT: Was there only one_wire a month? 1 2 3 THE WITNESS: There are a small number of months in which there are two wires and the distribution amount column 4 5 6 7 represents the total. I think that's true of only two or at most three months. THE COURT: With respect to the entire \$1,216,771.41 that Mr. Saldutti kept, you don't have any record as to what he 89 spent it on? THE WITNESS: I do not, but he does. Actually, to be 1Õ honest, your Honor, in preparation for this testimony, for this hearing, I do. But it was not a record that I kept 11 contemporaneously, to answer your question completely.

THE COURT: Through your counsel you offered a whole 12 13 14 series of exhibits that showed different reiterations of the 15 escrow agreement. 16 17 18 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Starting with your initial effort on March 9, 2005. THE WITNESS: Right. 20 21 22 THE COURT: Am I correct to understand that your initial negotiations regarding an escrow agreement started with the SEC? 23 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And it was the SEC that sought an escrow 25 account from you? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300 171 84orsec4 Friedman THE WITNESS: I may have volunteered it. But if you 1 2 3 mean SEC as distinct from the receiver, yes. THE COURT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 5 6 7 THE COURT: There was a time in February of '05 when you didn't know exactly what was going to happen with your client, what the SEC was going to do, correct? 8 THE WITNESS: Sure, yes. 9 THE COURT: You were concerned that the SEC might try 10 to obtain an asset freeze against your client and all of his

11

property, right?

12 13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: You were having discussions with whom? Mr. Arnold? Who are these people? THE WITNESS: Mr. Karpati, Mr. Arnold, and Ms. Kolb. THE COURT: Who is Mr. Arnold?

THE WITNESS: He was a lawyer at the SEC. If you're asking me his title, I don't know.

THE COURT: How about Ms. Kolb?

THE WITNESS: She was a lawyer at the SEC. The Page 79

```
84ORSECH.txt
      Q.
          No one ever said that $40,025 a month was too much?
          No one ever said that.
 9
     Q.
          Neither the SEC nor the receiver?
10
                 THE WITNESS: Correct.
11
                THE COURT: The SEC saw all of these drafts, too,
12
      didn't they?
13
                 THE WITNESS: They are on the emails of the drafts. I
14
15
16
17
      can't say who looked at them.
                 THE COURT: As we know from the attorney's fee
      applications, no one.
                With respect to legal fees, did you ever have a
18
19
     discussion with either the SEC or the receiver about what the maximum amount of legal fees might be?
20
                 THE WITNESS:
                                No.
21
                THE COURT: Did they ever ask?
22
                THE WITNESS:
                                No.
23
      THE COURT: Did they ever say to you in words or substance, you know, if the fees are twice the amount
24
      contemplated in Schedule A, I think you should tell us? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
25
                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                              179
      84orsec4
                                    Friedman
                 THE WITNESS:
 1234567
                                No.
                 THE COURT: Or words to that effect?
                 THE WITNESS: No such conversation.
                 THE COURT: Did you ever tell the receiver or the SEC,
      in words or substance, you know, this litigation is costing my
      client a fortune?
                 THE WITNESS: I don't have a specific recollection,
      but I certainly would not be surprised. Mr. Solow and I have
 9
      had lots of conversations, and I'm sure I said that somewhere
10
                 THE COURT: Do you have any understanding as to why it
11
12
13
      took from March 9th to the end of August to get this escrow
      agreement signed?
                 THE WITNESS: No, other than the sequence of dates in
14
15
      the documents. But my answer is no.

THE COURT: Do any counsel have any further questions
16
      that they want to pose to Mr. Friedman?
17
                 MR. CURRAN: I do not, your Honor.
18
19
                 MR. FORSTEIN: I do, your Honor.
                 THE COURT: Go ahead.
20
      BY MR. FORSTEIN:
21
22
23
24
      Q. Mr. Friedman, the judge asked you about Defendant's Exhibit E. Did you have that in front of you?
          Bear with me. Can you identify it?
The July 1 email attaching, among other things, a side
      letter.
                        SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                   (212) 805-0300
                                                                              180
                                    Friedman
      84orsec4
          Yes, I have it.
          Would you look at the proposed side letter, the second and
      third pages of the document dated July blank 2005.
          Yes.
          Do you have that?
      Q.
          I do.
      Α.
      Q. Do you see, in paragraph numbered 1 on the first page of the document, the last line of that provision saying, "If his, referring to Mr. Saldutti, "total actual expenditures are less
      than his total budgeted expenditure, Mr. Saldutti shall be
10
      permitted to use any such excess in future months"?
```

Page 83

0

```
84ORSECH.txt
12
           I see that.
13
           A side letter containing such a provision was never
      executed in this case, was it?
15
            Correct.
16
            That language or language similar to that never made its
      Q.
17
      way into the escrow agreement, did it?
18
19
            Correct.
                   MR. FORSTEIN: That's all, your Honor.
20
                  THE COURT: Anything further?
21
                  MR. CURRAN: No, sir.
22
                  THE COURT: Ms. Renzin?
23
                  MS. RENZIN: No.
24
                  THE COURT: Mr. Friedman, you're excused. You can
25
      step down.
                           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                       (212) 805-0300
                                                                                        181
      84orsec4
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
                   (Witness excused)
                   THE COURT: And you can call your next witness.
                  THE WITNESS: Could you give me a minute break? THE COURT: We'll take five minutes. Because e
                                                                      Because everyone
      is here and I'd like to finish this hearing today, we'll
      continue past 5 o'clock so we can complete the taking of
      testimony in one day. Obviously, I'll permit the parties to
      call witnesses in rebuttal.
                   (Recess)
                   MR. FRIEDMAN: Your Honor, the Salduttis are here.
      They are available to answer any questions that the Court has. But in light of how much time we have gone on and the detail of some of my testimony, it is not my intention to call them
11
12
13
14
      unless your Honor wants to hear from them. Therefore, I would
\overline{15}
      rest subject to your Honor's desire to hear from them.
16
                   THE COURT: I think we need to hear from them.
      we do, I have one other question for you, Mr. Friedman, which you can answer from right there. At any time during the process did you resist the idea of having Mr. Saldutti enter into an escrow agreement with respect to his money?
17
18
\overline{19}
20
21
                   MR. FRIEDMAN: No. I resisted certain terms, but I
22
      did not resist the idea of an escrow agreement.
23
                   THE COURT: You proposed an escrow agreement to the
      SEC the day they filed their litigation, is that correct?

MR. FRIEDMAN: I don't know if it was the exact day,
24
25
                           SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300
                                                                                         182
       84orsec5
       but it certainly was in the same time frame. I don't remember
 2
3
      when they filed their litigation.
      THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.

MR. FRIEDMAN: If your Honor thinks it's important,

I'll call Mr. Saldutti as my next witness.

THE COURT: I think it's important.
 456789
                                       If your Honor will bear with me for one
                   MR. FRIEDMAN:
                   I just want to make sure I have the right exhibits.
      minute.
                   THE COURT:
                                  Go ahead.
10
        FRANCIS SALDUTTI,
             called as a witness on his own behalf, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
11
12
      THE COURT: State your full name for the record and pull up your chair and speak into the microphone so everyone
13
14
15
      will hear you.
```

THE WITNESS: Francis Saldutti, S-A-L-D-U-T-T-I.

Page 84

Q. You mentioned upkeep, and the 4900 on the chart is shown as mortgage and upkeep. Are you saying that 4900 was only the mortgage and not the upkeep?

5 6 7 Ťhat's correct.

When you say "upkeep," what do you mean, what kinds of 8 things?

9 Utilities, of which there were many. The category is 10

broad, far-ranging. But I can tell you that, if memory serves me, those expenses excluded a total that ran between -- it ranged from 300 a month to 900 a month depending upon season. 12

13 Obviously, in the summer it was far higher, in the winter much 14 15

Is it your testimony that in order for Exhibit A to be accurate and complete, there should have been a number in 16

17 addition to the 4900 for upkeep?

A. That 300 to 900 per month.

Q. You also said that the exhibit was incorrect with regard to the \$125 for homeowner's insurance. Do you see that? 18 19

20

21 Yes.

22 Q. In what respect was that incorrect?

Homeowner's is actually 191.50 a month, not 125. 23 Α.

24

Apparently, it either never was 125 or was a long time ago 125. Q. Was it 191.50, to your knowledge, in August of 2005, when SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

190

84orsec5 Saldutti - direct

the escrow agreement_was signed? Either then or close to then.

2 3 4 5 Are there any other items on Exhibit A which were incorrect

when Exhibit A was signed, to your knowledge?
A. Not to the best of my knowledge.

Q. Are there any other items on Exhibit A which have increased materially subsequent to the entry of Exhibit A?

A. The recurring monthly family expenses. 6 7

That figure is shown as \$11,000 on the exhibit? Q.

10 Α.

 $\bar{1}\dot{1}$ Do you know what the number has in fact been? Q.

12 If memory serves me, it averaged about \$1825 more than 13 that.

Q. \$1825 per month?

14 15

A. Per month, correct.
Q. Can you explain why the 11,000 turned out to be lower than the actual cost over the life of the escrow agreement. 16

17

18 There are numerous explanations. For example, once Arden ceased operations, we no longer had dental coverage and we $\overline{19}$ 20 21 22 23

incurred in subsequent months and years significant dental expense. So it was all paid out-of-pocket.

We did not purchase any automobiles, obviously, since all of this came down, and as a consequence all of our cars exceeded the 50,000 mile warranties and we incurred somewhere between significant and in some cases major repair expense on SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

191

Saldutti - direct 84orsec5 those automobiles.

Another example would be last year I actually had a taxable income. In 2006 we had to pay for a tax preparer that we didn't have to pay for prior to that time.

We incurred expense having to pay an attorney who had represented us in the creation of our estate right after the business was sold and who was helping us with our charitable Page 88

П

giving program. While we didn't incur any additional expense from him for those purposes, the SEC had contacted him, interviewed him, he prepared documents, had conversation, and we were billed for that. 10 11

They are the kinds of things that totaled into the monthly number that could not have been anticipated.

14 Q. Were there any amounts in Exhibit A which were lower in point of fact than shown on the exhibit -- withdrawn -- which 15

became lower over time than shown on the exhibit? 16

17 Here's one, health insurance. Because Arden's cessation of business cut out all of our medical benefits, we had to pay for 18 health insurance. I think at the time of this document 19

20 21 creation, we anticipated -- it was an estimate because we

simply didn't know what it was going to cost us -- we anticipated \$3,000 a month in health care coverage. In fact, think we obtained it for 2350 a month or something like that. 22 23

But unfortunately, even that has gone up and is probably at the 24 \$3,000 mark now.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

192

193

84orsec5 Saldutti - direct Q. Let me direct your attention to the fourth line, which says tuition for children 4,500. Do you see that?

Has that changed over the life of the escrow agreement? Q.

12

13

5 Can you explain how, please. Q.

Both my daughters have graduated from college, one in 2006 and one in the summer-fall of 2007, so those tuitions no longer

10 Since the time the escrow agreement was entered into, has

11 there been a change in your lifestyle and your family's 12

lifestyle?

13

Can you describe the respects in which that lifestyle has 14 Q.

15 changed.

changed.

A. We just spend, wherever we can, far less than we ever did. How did we do that? We immediately suspended a construction project on the house that still sits half done, wires hanging out of the ceiling, out of the walls, unpainted. We suspended a decorating project. The house needs painting, we haven't done it.

We don't buy birthday gifts, we don't buy Christmas gifts. Vacations, we haven't paid for a vacation. We did get taken on two trips, one of which we paid for airfare, the other we used miles. But on neither occasion did we pay for lodging. 17 18 19 20

21 22 23 24 25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

Saldutti - direct 84orsec5

we down-shifted considerably.

Have you purchased any cars? Q.

Have you purchased any furniture? Q.

Α.

Have you purchased any appliances? Q.

Q. Have you made any what might be called major household purchases?

10 No, none at all.

You have three children, right? 11

I do.

Π

```
84ORSECH.txt
19
                 THE COURT:
                                 Mr. Forstein, cross-examination?
20
      CROSS-EXAMINATION
21
      BY MR. FORSTEIN:
22
          Mr. Saldutti, with respect to the expenses that you say
23
      turned out to be more than are set forth on Schedule A of the
      escrow agreement, did it ever occur to you that the receiver or the receiver's counsel or the SEC should be notified that the SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
24
                                     (212) 805-0300
                                                                                   209
      84orsec5
                                      Saldutti - cross
      amounts in fact were different from the amounts in Schedule A?
 2
3

    It did not occur to me.

     MR. FORSTEIN: Nothing further, your Honor.
MR. FRIEDMAN: I have nothing further, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Saldutti, what was your understanding of the purpose of specifying dollar amounts in Exhibit A to the
 4
5
6
7
8
      escrow agreement?
                  THE WITNESS: I believed that we were setting forth a
      budget that I had been asked to, with my wife's help,
 9
10
      calculate, and from that an escrow account was going to be
11
      determined or accommodated.
12
13
                  THE COURT: Did you have any understanding as to
      whether or not the monthly expenses were caps?
      THE WITNESS: No. I was never told that and that was not my understanding. Had I thought about it, if you're asking me in hindsight, I wouldn't have guessed that they were caps
14
15
16
      because each number was subject to upward revision just based
17
18
19
20
      on inflationary trends.
                                You're a sophisticated business person.
                  THE COURT:
      You read the agreement, right?
21
22
23
                  THE WITNESS: I did.
      THE COURT: Does the agreement provide for any adjustments for inflation?
                  THE WITNESS: It did not. Neither did it offer that
24
      the numbers were capped.
                          SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.
                                      (212) 805-0300
                                                                                    210
                                       Saldutti - cross
      84orsec5
                  THE COURT: I come back again, though, to the
 1
      question: What was your understanding of the purpose of
      putting any numbers down? You and your wife were asked by your
      attorney to come up with a budget of what you needed, am I
 4
5
      correct in that?
 6
7
                  THE WITNESS: You are.
                  THE COURT: Am I also correct that the only money that
      was available to you to meet your monthly expenses was the money that you were agreeing to put into the escrow account,
 8
 9
      short of invading your Keogh or your IRA or some other
10
11
      retirement fund?
12
                  THE WITNESS: I did.
                  THE COURT: The only money that was available was this
13
14
15
      money that you were putting into the escrow account?
                  THE WITNESS: Correct.
      THE COURT: With respect to legal fees, there was a statement that this was just an estimate. Why didn't you request some footnote with respect to the other expenses?
16
17
18
19
                  THE WITNESS: In all candor, Judge, I must tell you
       that at the time that we were requested to calculate monthly
20
21
22
       expenses and put it in budget form and become subject to an
```

escrow account, not in a hundred thousand years did I dream this was going to happen. I did not know, I couldn't even Page 97